

ENTERED

December 11, 2024

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISIONMANUEL MACIAS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

DEWITT COUNTY TEXAS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-CV-00043

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION


On October 17, 2024, United States Magistrate Judge Jason B. Libby issued his Memorandum and Recommendation (D.E. 50), recommending that the Court deny Plaintiff's Motion to Alter and Amend the Final Judgment (D.E. 47). The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's memorandum and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge's memorandum and recommendation (D.E. 50), and all other relevant

documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the motion to alter or amend the judgment (D.E. 47) is **DENIED**.

ORDERED on December 11, 2024.


NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE